

LS 10025a

16 November 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR: EE/Budget

ATTENTION :

SUBJECT : Reimbursement of
Travel Expenses

1. We are returning the original memoranda of January and February 1952. As we pointed out in our opinion of 7 February 1952, [] may not be reimbursed for his wife's travel expenses from her home [] to his post of duty in []. The reason we gave then was that although it is not necessary that dependent's travel be simultaneous with that of the employee, it is necessary that the employee in fact travel. [] in fact never traveled on home leave, having been prevented while [] by a freeze of all home leave and after he transferred to [] by operational reasons.

2. You have recently asked us to reconsider this opinion and have suggested as a theory that [] transfer to [] be regarded as though he had been appointed []. In such an event, according to your theory, the Agency would have paid for the travel of his dependents from the United States to the overseas post of duty. Unfortunately, in the instant case, [] was transferred from another Government agency and his wife's transportation from the United States to the overseas post of duty had already been paid by the United States Government. [] may not, therefore, be reimbursed for the subsequent travel expenses of his wife.

[]
Assistant General Counsel

Attachments

OGC:JFEM:jeb

cc: OGC chrono

✓ OGC subject w/10 May 54 memo from [] "Travel 7"

Legal

Approved

Approved For Release 2003/06/03 : CIA-RDP59-00882R000300320085-8

OGC Has Reviewed